

PROTOCOL AND PUBLICITY BRANCH

The 24th December, 1970

No. 9574-1PP-70/37465.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint Shri Laxmi Chand, Tourist Office in the Tourism Department, as Assistant Director, Tourism, in the time scale of Rs. 350—25—500/30—590/30 830/35—900 on purely temporary and *ad hoc* basis with effect from 4th December, 1970.

R. S. VARMA, Dy. Secy.

HOME (POLICE) DEPARTMENT

The 29th December, 1970

No. 26981/B(H).—Transfer and Posting.—The Governor of Haryana was pleased to transfer Shri K. L. Dewan, IPS, from Narnaul and to post him as Superintendent of Police, Karnal, where he assumed charge of his duties on the afternoon of 18th December, 1970.

J. C. VACHHER,
Joint Secretary.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 23rd December, 1970

No. 12325-ILab-70/37684.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Rohtak Delhi Transport (P) Ltd., Rohtak.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 171/70

between

The workman Shri Chanan Singh and the management of M/s Rohtak Delhi Transport (P) Ltd.,
Rohtak.

Present :

Shri S. N. Vats, for the workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh, for the management.

AWARD

Shri Chanan Singh was in the service of M/s Rohtak Delhi Transport (P) Ltd., Rohtak. His services were terminated and this gave rise to an industrial dispute. Accordingly the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Court,—*vide* Gazette Notification No. ID/RK/43-C/70/29769, dated 23rd September, 1970.—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Chanan Singh, Driver was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties. It is, however, not necessary to decide the case on merits because the workman Shri Chanan Singh, Driver has died and the reference has become infructuous. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Dated the 15th December, 1970.

No. 1419, dated 17th December, 1970.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

The 30th December, 1970

No. 12317-1Lab-70/37681.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Satnam Transport Company (P) Ltd., Rohtak.—

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 14 of 1970

between

The workman through Haryana Motor Transport Workers Union (Regd.) Rohtak and the management of M/s. Satnam Transport Company (P.) Ltd., Rohtak

Present :—

Shri Shiv Narayan Vats, for the workman.

Shri Tilak Raj, for the management.

AWARD

Shri Inder Singh was in the service of M/s. Satnam Transport Co., (P.) Ltd., Rohtak. His services were terminated. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. Accordingly the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Gazette Notification No. ID/RK/26-C/70/21940, dated 20th July, 1970:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Inder Singh, Driver, was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties. It is, however, not necessary to decide the case on merits because an amicable compromise has been effected between the parties. The workman Shri Inder Singh had received the amount due to him and taken up a job elsewhere and he does not desire re-instatement. The statement of Shri S. N. Vats, who represents the workman has been recorded and he accepts the correctness of the compromise. As per terms of compromise, I hold that the workman is not entitled to any relief. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

Dated 15th December, 1970.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 1352, dated 15th December, 1970.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.